

### Results

The linearized equations of motion given by Eq. (4) for a spinning symmetrical satellite in an elliptic orbit have been simulated on a digital computer. An example case where the orbital eccentricity  $\epsilon=0.5$ , the inertia ratio  $K=1.0$ , and the spin parameter  $\alpha=-1.0$  is considered here. Using these values a Floquet analysis was done to determine the characteristic exponents and hence the stability of the uncontrolled motion. The exponents where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 &= 0.6718 & \rho_3 &= 0.0 + 0.3728i \\ \rho_2 &= -0.6718 & \rho_4 &= 0.0 - 0.3728i\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho_1$  is positive the uncontrolled motion is unstable. The  $F^{-1}(\tau)$  matrix was formed and  $r_1(\tau)$  was determined and expressed in a Fourier series. The Fourier series for  $r_1(\tau)$  has in this case a dc component given by

$$a_{01} = 0.6197$$

The control necessary therefore to change just  $\rho_1$  is given by

$$u = [k_1, 0, 0, 0] F^{-1}(\tau) \bar{x} \quad (27)$$

where  $k_1$  is given by  $k_1 = (\rho_{1CL} - \rho_1) / a_{01}$ . A value of  $k_1 = -2.0$  was chosen and a Floquet analysis of the closed-loop system yielded

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{1CL} &= -0.5677 & \rho_{3CL} &= 0.0 + 0.3728i \\ \rho_{2CL} &= -0.6718 & \rho_{4CL} &= 0.0 + 0.3728i\end{aligned}$$

These results agree to four places with the results predicted by the theory. Only  $\rho_{1CL}$  is different from the open-loop values and it is as predicted.

### Conclusions

In summary, the modal control of a system of linear differential equations with periodic coefficients has been considered. General results for determining single-mode control have been derived. The general results have been demonstrated in controlling the attitude motion of a spinning symmetric satellite.

### References

- <sup>1</sup>Kane, T.R. and Barba, P.M., "Attitude Stability of a Spinning Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 33, June 1966, pp. 402-405.
- <sup>2</sup>Wallace, F.B. Jr. and Meirovitch, L., "Attitude Instability Regions of a Spinning Symmetrical Satellite in an Elliptic Orbit," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 5, Sept. 1967, pp. 1642-1650.
- <sup>3</sup>Meirovitch, L., *Methods of Analytical Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1970, pp. 264-270.
- <sup>4</sup>Hahn, W., *Stability of Motion*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967, pp. 296-304.

## Recursive Relationships for Body Axis Rotation Rates

David M. Henderson\*

TRW Houston Systems Services, Houston, Texas

### Introduction

**I**N many algorithms and areas of analysis in flight dynamics and flight control systems, both body axis rotation rates

Received Oct. 28, 1982; revision received Jan. 3, 1983. Copyright © 1983 by TRW Inc. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics with permission.

\*Member, Technical Staff, Systems Engineering and Analysis Department, Systems Engineering and Applications Division, TRW Defense Systems Group. Member AIAA.

and Euler angle rates are measured and/or used to control the motion of the vehicle. There are a number of Euler sequences (12 possible sets for three Euler rotations) any one of which can be used to describe a problem or may be required by hardware definition. Since there are quite a number of sequences possible and each equation relating the body axis rotation rates to the Euler rates is unique for that sequence, these relationships are not written out in the literature. The following analysis provides the engineer with a method of computing all these relationships and extends the equations to  $n$  Euler rotations. These equations lend themselves immediately to computer applications and can also be used to write out a particular Euler sequence when the computing equations are needed.

The transformation equation,

$$x = a\bar{x} \quad (1)$$

transforms vectors from the moving system ( $\bar{x}$ ) to the inertial (stationary) system ( $x$ ) at a particular instant in time. The transformation matrix can be constructed from  $n$  single-axis Euler rotations,

$$a = R_1 R_2 R_3 \dots R_n \quad (2)$$

In most applications three or less Euler rotations are used, i.e.,  $n \leq 3$ ; however, the Space Shuttle IMU system uses a four-axis gimbal, thus requiring four Euler rotations to describe the transformation matrix from the navigation mounting base on the Space Shuttle to the stable member (inertial platform). The transformation matrix is orthogonal and has the properties,

$$a^T a = a a^T = I \quad (3)$$

where  $I$  is the unity matrix.

Differentiating Eq. (3),

$$\dot{a}^T a + a^T \dot{a} = \dot{a} a^T + a \dot{a}^T = 0 \quad (4)$$

then

$$\dot{a}^T a = -a^T \dot{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{a} a^T = -a \dot{a}^T \quad (5)$$

or

$$a^T \dot{a} = -(a^T \dot{a})^T \quad (6)$$

which states that  $a^T \dot{a}$  is skew symmetric. From other analyses we know that

$$\dot{a} = a \bar{W} \quad (7)$$

where  $\bar{W}$  is the skew symmetric matrix of body axis rotation rates ( $\bar{\omega}$ ), namely,

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\bar{\omega}_3 & \bar{\omega}_2 \\ \bar{\omega}_3 & 0 & -\bar{\omega}_1 \\ -\bar{\omega}_2 & \bar{\omega}_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Further if we differentiate Eq. (2),

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{a} &= \dot{R}_1 R_2 R_3 \dots R_n + R_1 \dot{R}_2 R_3 \dots R_n \\ &+ R_1 R_2 \dot{R}_3 \dots R_n + \dots + R_1 R_2 R_3 \dots \dot{R}_n\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Note that, like Eq. (7),

$$\dot{R}_n = R_n W_n \quad (10)$$

where  $W_n$  is a skew symmetric matrix containing only the Euler rate about the  $n$ th axis for that rotation. For example, if the  $n$ th Euler rotation were about the  $y$  axis (pitch axis),

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\Theta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\dot{\Theta} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where  $\dot{\Theta}$  is the pitch rate. Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), the following recursive form results:

$$a^T \dot{a} = \bar{U}_n = \bar{W} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{U}_n = R_n^T \bar{U}_{n-1} R_n + W_n \quad (13)$$

and

$$\bar{U}_0 = 0$$

The notation  $\bar{U}_n$  here means a matrix formed as a result of  $n$  Euler rotations; for example, when  $n=3$ , three Euler rotations,

$$\bar{U}_3 = R_3^T (R_2^T W_1 R_2 + W_2) R_3 + W_3 \quad (14)$$

are derived from Eq. (13) in the following manner:

$$\bar{U}_1 = R_1^T \bar{U}_0 R_1 + W_1 = W_1$$

$$\bar{U}_2 = R_2^T \bar{U}_1 R_2 + W_2 = R_2^T W_1 R_2 + W_2$$

$$\bar{U}_3 = R_3^T \bar{U}_2 R_3 + W_3 = R_3^T (R_2^T W_1 R_2 + W_2) R_3 + W_3$$

Euler angles are measured from the inertial (stationary) coordinate frame to the instantaneous Euler axis position in the particular sequence that is being used. The rate of change of the Euler angles refers to rotations about one axis at a time, using the intermediate Euler axes as defined by the selected sequence. For instance, in the standard yaw-pitch-roll Euler sequence, the rate of change of the pitch angle is measured about a line where the Euler pitch is occurring and creates components of the rotation vector in the inertial frame as if only two rotations had occurred,

$$(\omega)_2 = R_1 R_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\Theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where  $R_1 = R_z(\Psi)$ , a rotation about the yaw axis ( $z$  axis) and  $R_2 = R_y(\Theta)$ , a rotation about the pitch axis ( $y$  axis). The total inertial rotation vector is the sum of all rotation components resulting from the rates of change of each Euler angle, that is,

$$\omega = (\omega)_1 + (\omega)_2 + (\omega)_3 \quad (16)$$

for a three-axis Euler sequence. Writing out the sum resulting from  $n$  Euler angle rates of change,

$$\omega = R_1 S_1 + R_1 R_2 S_2 + R_1 R_2 R_3 S_3 + \dots + R_1 R_2 R_3 \dots R_n S_n \quad (17)$$

Where the  $S_n$  vectors are the instantaneous Euler rate vectors about the particular axis selected by the Euler angle sequence. By transforming the inertial rotation rate vector equation (17) into the body axis frame using Eq. (1), we have

$$\bar{\omega} = a^T \omega \quad (18)$$

Substituting  $\omega$  from Eq. (17) and  $a^T$  from Eq. (2) we can write

$$\bar{\omega} = (R_n^T \dots R_3^T R_2^T R_1^T) (R_1 S_1 + R_1 R_2 S_2 + R_1 R_2 R_3 S_3 + \dots + R_1 R_2 R_3 \dots R_n S_n) \quad (19)$$

which reduces to

$$\bar{\omega} = R_n^T \dots R_3^T R_2^T S_1 + R_n^T \dots R_4^T R_3^T S_2 + R_n^T \dots R_5^T R_4^T S_3 + \dots + S_n \quad (20)$$

This equation may be written in recursive form as follows:

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{T}_n \quad (21)$$

$\bar{T}_n$  has the form,

$$\bar{T}_n = R_n^T \bar{T}_{n-1} + S_n \quad (22)$$

again  $\bar{T}_0 = 0$ .

The notation  $\bar{T}_n$  means a vector formed as a result of  $n$  Euler rotations, where  $n$  is the number of Euler rotations,  $R_n$  is the  $n$ th single-axis rotation matrix, and  $S_n$  is a vector of the  $n$ th Euler derivative. For example, when  $n=3$  (three Euler rotations), Eq. (22) becomes

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{T}_3 \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega} &= R_3^T \bar{T}_2 + S_3 \\ &= R_3^T (R_2^T \bar{T}_1 + S_2) + S_3 \\ &= R_3^T [R_2^T (R_1^T \bar{T}_0 + S_1) + S_2] + S_3 \\ &= R_3^T (R_2^T S_1 + S_2) + S_3 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Hence, for any three Euler rotations,

$$\bar{\omega} = R_3^T (R_2^T S_1 + S_2) + S_3 \quad (25)$$

the body axis rotation rate vector as a function of the Euler rates and the single-axis Euler rotation matrices. As an example of the use of Eq. (21), using the standard yaw-pitch-roll aircraft system (this is a 3, 2, 1 Euler sequence), Eq. (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\omega}_1 \\ \bar{\omega}_2 \\ \bar{\omega}_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\Phi & -\sin\Phi \\ 0 & \sin\Phi & \cos\Phi \end{bmatrix}^T \\ &\times \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \cos\Theta & 0 & \sin\Theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\Theta & 0 & \cos\Theta \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\Psi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\Theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\Phi} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\Phi & \sin\Phi \\ 0 & -\sin\Phi & \cos\Phi \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \cos\Theta & 0 & -\sin\Theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin\Theta & 0 & \cos\Theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\Psi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\Theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] + \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\Phi} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\Phi & \sin\Phi \\ 0 & -\sin\Phi & \cos\Phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\Theta \dot{\Psi} \\ \dot{\Theta} \\ \cos\Theta \dot{\Psi} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\Phi} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

and finally,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\Theta \dot{\Psi} + \dot{\Phi} \\ \cos\Phi \dot{\Theta} + \sin\Phi \cos\Theta \dot{\Psi} \\ -\sin\Phi \dot{\Theta} + \cos\Phi \cos\Theta \dot{\Psi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

the body axis rotation rates as a function of the Euler angles and their rates of change.<sup>1</sup>

### Conclusion

The skew symmetric matrix of body axis rotation rates for any Euler sequence and any number of Euler rotations may be

obtained from the recursive relationship,

$$\bar{W} = \bar{U}_n = R_n^T \bar{U}_{n-1} R_n + W_n \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{U}_0 = 0 \quad (30)$$

where  $R_n$  is the  $n$ th single-axis Euler rotation matrix and  $W_n$  is the skew symmetric matrix containing only the Euler rate about the  $n$ th axis for that rotation. This expression provides the engineer with a useful tool in analysis when the Euler angle rates are given. The body axis rotation rate vector can be expressed in the less complicated recursive relationship,

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{T}_n = R_n^T \bar{T}_{n-1} + S_n \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{T}_0 = 0 \quad (31)$$

Again,  $R_n$  is the  $n$ th single-axis Euler rotation matrix and  $S_n$  is a vector containing only the  $n$ th Euler derivative. This expression for the body axis rotation rate vector can be used as a "cookbook" method to write out the computing equations when multiaxis, multisequence Euler rotations are required.

### Reference

<sup>1</sup>Etkin, B., *Dynamics of Atmospheric Flight*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972, pp. 125-126.

## *From the AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics Series*

# SPACE SYSTEMS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS WITH EARTH'S SPACE ENVIRONMENT—v. 71

*Edited by Henry B. Garrett and Charles P. Pike, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory*

This volume presents a wide-ranging scientific examination of the many aspects of the interaction between space systems and the space environment, a subject of growing importance in view of the ever more complicated missions to be performed in space and in view of the ever growing intricacy of spacecraft systems. Among the many fascinating topics are such matters as: the changes in the upper atmosphere, in the ionosphere, in the plasmasphere, and in the magnetosphere, due to vapor or gas releases from large space vehicles; electrical charging of the spacecraft by action of solar radiation and by interaction with the ionosphere, and the subsequent effects of such accumulation; the effects of microwave beams on the ionosphere, including not only radiative heating but also electric breakdown of the surrounding gas; the creation of ionosphere "holes" and wakes by rapidly moving spacecraft; the occurrence of arcs and the effects of such arcing in orbital spacecraft; the effects on space systems of the radiation environment, etc. Included are discussions of the details of the space environment itself, e.g., the characteristics of the upper atmosphere and of the outer atmosphere at great distances from the Earth; and the diverse physical radiations prevalent in outer space, especially in Earth's magnetosphere. A subject as diverse as this necessarily is an interdisciplinary one. It is therefore expected that this volume, based mainly on invited papers, will prove of value.

737 pp., 6 × 9, illus., \$30.00 Mem., \$55.00 List

TO ORDER WRITE: Publications Order Dept., AIAA, 1633 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019